

On new class of analytic functions defined by using differintegral operator

Research Article

Jumana Hekma Salman¹, Ahmed Sallal Joudah^{2,*}

¹Department of Statistical and Informatics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwiniya-Iraq

²Department of Medical Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwiniya- Iraq

Received 22 May 2014; accepted (in revised version) 23 August 2014

Abstract: Making use a fractional differintegral operator .We introduce a new class of univalent analytic functions in the unit disk U .Among the results investigated for this class of functions include the coefficient inequalities ,starlikeeness,convexity,close -to-convexity,extreme points and Integral means inequalities.

MSC: 30C45 • 30C50 • 26A33

Keywords: Fractional Calculus(fractional integral and fractional derivative) • Univalent functions • Principle of subordination

© 2014 IJAAMM all rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathfrak{S} denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1)$$

Let H denote the subclass of the class \mathfrak{S} which is the functions defined by:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2)$$

A function $f \in H$ is said to be in the class of starlike functions of order β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) in U , denoted by $\delta^*(\beta)$, if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in U) \quad (3)$$

For $\beta = 0$ the class $\delta^*(0) = \delta^*$ is the class of starlike functions in U . (for details, see [1, 2])

If f and g are two analytic in U , then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U and write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ (analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$, and $|w(z)| < 1$), such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in U$. In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in U , the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$. [3–5]

We recall here the following definition of fractional calculus (that is fractional integral and fractional derivative of an arbitrary order) consider by Owa [6]. See also [7–10]

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ahmedhiq@yahoo.com

Definition 1.1.

The fractional integral of order $\lambda (\lambda > 0)$ is defined for a function $f(z)$ analytic in a simply-connected region of the complex plane containing the origin by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{1-\lambda}} d\xi, \tag{4}$$

where the multiplicity $(z-\xi)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $(z-\xi > 0)$.

Definition 1.2.

Under the hypothesis of definition 1.2 the fractional derivative operator of order $\lambda (\lambda \geq 0)$ is defined by :

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^\lambda} d\xi & (0 \leq \lambda < 1) \\ \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^{\lambda-n} f(z) & (n-1 \leq \lambda < n; n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}) \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where the multiplicity $(z-\xi)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in definition 1.2

For the purpose of this paper, we define here a fractional differintegral operator

$$\Omega_z^\lambda : H \rightarrow H \quad (-\infty < \lambda < 2; n \in N),$$

for a function $f(z)$ of the form (2) by:

$$\Omega_z^\lambda f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} a_n z^n = \Gamma(2-\lambda) z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) \quad (-\infty < \lambda < 2; z \in U), \tag{6}$$

Definition 1.3.

A function $f(z)$ in H is in the class $H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$Re \left\{ (1-\gamma) - \frac{\gamma(\beta \Omega_z^\lambda f(z) + (1-\beta)z) + (1-\gamma)z^2 (\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))''}{z(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))'} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu), \tag{7}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha\mu < 1; 0 \leq \beta, \gamma \leq 1; -\infty < \lambda < 2; z \in U$.

Lemma 1.1.

see [11] If ζ is any complex number, then $Re(\zeta) > \tau$ if and only if $|\zeta - (1 + \tau)| < |\zeta + (1 - \tau)|$ where $\tau \geq 0$.

Some of the following properties have been found on other classes in [12-14].

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1.

Let the functions $f \in H$ be given by (2). Then $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} a_n \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu). \tag{8}$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^n, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{9}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$ by Using Lemma 1.1 and letting

$$\zeta = \frac{(1-\gamma)z(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))' - \gamma(\beta(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z)) + (1-\beta)z) + (1-\gamma)z^2(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))''}{z(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))'} = \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}.$$

Then it is sufficient prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| A(z) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)\right) B(z) \right| < \left| A(z) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)\right) B(z) \right| \\ & = \left| -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} \left[n^2(1-\gamma) + \gamma\beta - n \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)\right) \right] \right| \\ & < \left| \left(2 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)\right)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} \left[n^2(1-\gamma) + \gamma\beta + n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)\right) \right] \right| \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} a_n - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)\right) \leq 0.$$

Conversely, assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & Re \left\{ (1-\gamma) - \frac{\gamma(\beta(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z)) + (1-\beta)z) + (1-\gamma)z^2(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))''}{z(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))'} \right\} \\ & = Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)z(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))' - \gamma(\beta(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z)) + (1-\beta)z) + (1-\gamma)z^2(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))''}{z(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))'} \right\} \tag{10} \\ & = Re \left\{ \frac{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} a_n z^n [n^2(1-\gamma) + \gamma\beta]}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} n a_n z^n} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

We can choose the value of z on the real axis, so that $z(\Omega_z^\lambda f(z))'$ is real. Let $z \rightarrow 1$. through real value, so we can write (10) as

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} a_n \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu).$$

Finally, sharpness follows if we take

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^n, n \geq 2. \tag{11}$$

The proof is complete. □

Corollary 2.1.

Let the function $f \in H$ be given by (2). If $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$, then

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^n, n \geq 2. \quad (n \in N) \tag{12}$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (9).

3. Radius of starlikeness and convexity and close-to-convexity

Theorem 3.1.

Let $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$. Then f is starlike of order $\rho, 0 \leq \rho < 1$ in $|z| < r = r_1(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho)$, where

$$r_1(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho) = inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right]^{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) (n-\rho)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, n = 2, 3, \dots$$

The estimate is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right]^{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}} z^n, n \geq 2 \tag{13}$$

Proof. f is starlike of order $\rho, 0 \leq \rho < 1$ if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \rho \tag{14}$$

that is if

$$\left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho, \tag{15}$$

which simplifies to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\rho) a_n |z|^{n-1}}{(1-\rho)} \leq 1. \tag{16}$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right]^{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}}, n \geq 2. \tag{17}$$

Using (16) and (17), we get

$$|z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right]^{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) (n-\rho)} \tag{18}$$

thus

$$|z| < r_1(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho) = inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right]^{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) (n-\rho)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, n = 2, 3, \dots$$

□

Theorem 3.2.

Let $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$. Then f is convex of order $\rho, 0 \leq \rho < 1$ in $|z| < r = r_2(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho)$, where

$$r_2(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho) = inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right]^{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) n(n-\rho)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, n = 2, 3, \dots$$

The estimate is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right]^{\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}} z^n, n \geq 2 \tag{19}$$

Proof. $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$ is convex of order $\rho, 0 \leq \rho < 1$ if

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \rho \tag{20}$$

that is if

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \rho, \tag{21}$$

which simplifies to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\rho)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{(1-\rho)} \leq 1. \tag{22}$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}, n \geq 2. \tag{23}$$

Using (22) and (23), we get

$$|z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) n(n-\rho)} \tag{24}$$

thus

$$|z| < r_2(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho) = inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) n(n-\rho)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, n = 2, 3, \dots$$

□

Theorem 3.3.

Let $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$. Then f is close-to-convex of order $\rho, 0 \leq \rho < 1$ in $|z| < r = r_3(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho)$, where

$$r_3(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho) = inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, n = 2, 3, \dots \tag{25}$$

The estimate is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^n, n \geq 2 \tag{26}$$

Proof. Let $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$. Then by Theorem 2.1,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)} a_n \leq 1, \tag{27}$$

for $0 \leq \rho < 1$, we need to show that $|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho$ for $|z| < r = r_3(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \rho)$, when is given by (25).

Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| = \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1}. \tag{28}$$

Thus $|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{1-\rho} a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1, \tag{29}$$

but by Theorem 2.1 above inequality holds true if

$$|z|^{n-1} \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[n \left((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right)} \right\}, n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

and this completes the proof.

□

4. Extreme points for the function class $H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$

Theorem 4.1.

Let

$$f_1(z) = z \tag{30}$$

and

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1 - \gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^n, (n \in N \setminus \{1\}). \tag{31}$$

Then $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the following form :

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n f_n(z)$$

where $\chi_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n f_n(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1 - \gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^n.$$

The from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n \left((1 - \gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1 - \gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} \chi_n \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right] \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right] (1 - \chi_n) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ,in view of Theorem 2.1, we find that $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$.

Conversely,let us suppose that $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$.

Then, since

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{\left[n \left((1 - \gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} \quad (n \in N \setminus \{1\}),$$

we may set

$$\chi_n = \frac{\left[n \left((1 - \gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu) \right) + \gamma\beta \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)} a_n, \quad (n \in N \setminus \{1\})$$

and $\chi_n = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n$. Thus clearly we have

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \chi_n f_n(z).$$

This completed the proof of Theorem 4.1 □

Corollary 4.1.

The extreme points of the function class $H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$ are given by equations (30) and (31).

5. Integral means inequalities for the function class $H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$

In the year 1925, Littlewood [15] prove the following subordination theorem.

Theorem 5.1.

If the functions f and g are analytic in U with $f(z) \prec g(z), (z \in U)$, then for $\delta > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}, (0 < r < 1)$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\delta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)|^\delta d\theta.$$

We now make use of Theorem 5.1 to prove Theorem 5.2 below.

Theorem 5.2.

Let $f \in H(\lambda, \gamma, \beta, \alpha, \mu)$. Suppose also that f_n is defined by equation (31). If there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ given by

$$[w(z)]^{n-1} = \frac{[n((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)) + \gamma\beta] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1},$$

there for $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $(0 < r < 1)$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\delta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_n(re^{i\theta})|^\delta d\theta \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Proof. We must show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \right|^\delta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{[n((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)) + \gamma\beta] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^{n-1} \right|^\delta d\theta.$$

By applying Littlewood's subordination theorem (Theorem 5.1 above), it would suffice to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{[n((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)) + \gamma\beta] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} z^{n-1} \quad (z \in U).$$

By setting

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} = 1 - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)}{[n((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)) + \gamma\beta] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}} [w(z)]^{n-1},$$

we find that

$$[w(z)]^{n-1} = \frac{[n((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)) + \gamma\beta] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1},$$

which readily yields $w(0) = 0$.

Next by using equation (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)|^{n-1} &\leq \left| \frac{[n((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)) + \gamma\beta] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{[n((1-\gamma)n - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)) + \gamma\beta] \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z^{n-1}| \leq |z|^{n-1} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2. □

References

- [1] Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions, Vanderhoeck and Ruprecht, GÄlottingen, 1975.
- [2] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory. World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.
- [3] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Band259, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [4] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu , Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981) 157-171.
- [5] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations: Theory and Applications , Series on Monographs and Text Books in pure and Applied Mathematics 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [6] S. Owa, On the distortion theorem .I, kyungpook Math.J. 18 (1978) 53-59.
- [7] J. Dziok, Applications of the Jack Lemma, Acta Math. Hungar. 105(1-2) (2004) 93-102.
- [8] S. Owa, H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math. 39 (1987) 1057-1077.
- [9] H. M. Srivastava, M. K. Aouf, A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficient .I and II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 192 (1995) 673-688.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications, Halsted press, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester and John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester and Toronto, 1989.
- [11] E. S. Aqlan, S. R. Kulkarni, Subclass of k-uniformly starlike and convex functions with negative coefficients II, Tamkang J. Math. 35(4) (2004) 111-120.
- [12] S. Kanas, A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and K-uniformly convexity II, Folia Sci. Tech. Reso. 178 (1998) 65-78.
- [13] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni, Certain properties of the class of univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 97 (2005)35-48.
- [14] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975) 109-116.
- [15] J. E. Littlewood, On inequalities in the theory of functions, Proc. London Math. Soc 2(23) (1925) 481-519.